Executive Summary

With IT infrastructures growing more complex, IT professionals need knowledge, skills, and tools to identify performance trends quickly and take corrective actions before bottlenecks arise. Network storage systems are a key element of IT infrastructure and can play a significant role in performance as observed by applications and end users. Storage systems consist of a number of critical resources including CPU, memory, network interfaces, and disk subsystems that can become constrained and impede performance. For ongoing performance monitoring, NetApp recommends focusing on I/O latency as the primary performance indicator. NetApp Operations Manager software (formerly known as DataFabric® Manager, or DFM) provides an easy-to-use interface to graphically monitor the utilization of the most commonly stressed system resources. This guide documents a regular routine of storage performance monitoring and troubleshooting methodologies using Operations Manager that can be followed to track performance changes in a storage system and take corrective actions before they impact end users.
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1. Introduction
Analyzing performance issues in today’s complex data center environments can be a daunting task. When an end user sitting at his or her desktop system reports that application response has degraded, it may be the result of any element in the chain delivering information to the user, including the desktop itself, IP networks, middleware, database software, servers, storage networks, or storage systems.

With business infrastructures constantly growing more complex, IT professionals need the knowledge, skills, and tools to quickly identify potential bottlenecks in each element of the infrastructure and take corrective action, preferably before a problem becomes severe enough to be noticed. Of all the elements in the IT infrastructure, storage is one of the least understood, often resulting in storage systems that are either underconfigured or overconfigured for their dynamic workloads. The purpose of this document is to give readers the knowledge and tools to monitor and manage performance on NetApp storage systems, focusing on performance issues highlighted by Operations Manager1.

1.1 Understanding Storage Performance
In a healthy storage deployment, applications request I/O from a storage system and receive a response to each I/O request within acceptable latency limits. When performance problems arise, latency—as experienced by the application or by the end user—rises to unacceptable levels, the result being that tasks take longer to execute, end users complain that response has slowed, or both. I/O performance problems typically appear over time as more capacity, more users, and more workload are added to existing storage systems, stressing system resources and causing latency to rise.

Figure 1) Storage problems arise over time as users, applications, and capacity are added to a storage system.

1 Operations Manager was formerly known as DataFabric Manager, or DFM. Many of the figures in this document were created using a version of the software branded with the earlier name.
What constitutes an acceptable latency depends on the application. For instance, database workloads typically require I/O read latency of 20 milliseconds or less for OLTP applications, whereas noninteractive applications such as backup and archival applications may operate with read latencies of up to 200 milliseconds. The requirements of other applications typically fall between these extremes. Acceptable latencies may also depend on specific usage requirements.

1.2 Focus on Latency
NetApp focuses on latency because it is a very strong indicator of storage system workload. Other parameters are also important, such as throughput and transactions per second, but it is easy to detect small rises in latency. Although latency increases may not yet be large enough to noticeably affect end users or applications, they may indicate that a storage system is approaching a resource bottleneck. For this reason, focusing on latency is an essential part of getting an early indication of a performance problem.

Plotting latency versus throughput in virtually any storage performance benchmark demonstrates that latency may rise very gradually across a wide range of throughputs and then suddenly increase very rapidly. This knee in the curve is the point where the storage system has reached a bottleneck.

Figure 2: Typical benchmark result showing rapid rise in latency as performance limit is reached.

By identifying the application workloads on each storage system and establishing acceptable latency thresholds for each workload, a performance monitoring system can be implemented to identify potential problems before a crisis point is reached and end users start complaining.

1.3 Critical System Resources
As with any network storage system, a NetApp storage system consists of a number of system resources that can create bottlenecks and contribute to rising latency. The following list describes the key potential bottlenecks.

- **CPU**: In the absence of other bottlenecks, the ability of CPUs to process transactions (possibly while also executing other storage-related tasks) can become the limiting factor in storage system performance.

- **System Memory**: Memory on a storage system is typically allocated primarily as a cache to store recently accessed blocks as well as blocks that are read into memory using predictive read-ahead algorithms. The more blocks that can be accessed from memory, the fewer disk I/Os are necessary, providing faster response to client requests.

- **Network/Target interfaces**: A storage system’s Ethernet and/or target Fibre Channel interfaces must provide adequate bandwidth to accommodate the aggregate I/O load. Load that is incorrectly balanced across multiple interfaces can create a bottleneck by overloading one interface while underloading others. For example, in the case of network interfaces, external network factors such
as retransmissions, out-of-order packets, and other factors may affect network interface throughput. This document discusses how to detect such problems; however, fixing network problems is beyond the scope of this paper. Refer to the Network Management Guide for the particular Data ONTAP® release for more information.

- **Disk**: The number of I/O transactions that each disk can deliver at an acceptable latency is constrained primarily by rotational speed. The faster the disk spins, the greater its performance. Disk capacities continue to grow at a 4X pace with little increase in transactional performance. Therefore, it’s not uncommon for a disk subsystem to have adequate capacity but inadequate performance. The ability of NetApp WAFL® (Write Anywhere File Layout) to aggregate write requests can significantly mask many disk performance characteristics to end users and applications. However, the availability of other system resources, such as system memory and CPU, can still impact disk performance.

If regular monitoring of latency detects a rise over time, the next step is to determine which of these resources is contributing to the problem. Under normal utilization, additional workload typically results in only incremental increases in latency. However, when a critical resource approaches maximum capacity, the increase in latency can be sudden and exponential.

The most common example is CPU. If CPU utilization is at 50% and 10% more load is added, latency may increase very little or not at all. However, if CPU utilization is at 90% and load is increased by 10%, latency may increase dramatically. The same is true for the other resources listed above. Most administrators are accustomed to monitoring CPU utilization, but the other resources are often overlooked as a potential cause of poor performance.

**1.4 NetApp Storage System Capabilities**

The following factors affect the performance of NetApp storage systems.

- **Platform**: Each NetApp model has a defined set of resources in terms of CPU capability, system memory, and onboard network/target interfaces. These resources are fixed on all systems, with the exception of resources that can use an expansion slot, such as additional network and target interfaces. If a storage system is routinely bottlenecked on a particular resource, add resources to expansion slots if applicable, offload some workloads to other storage systems, reschedule some workloads to off-peak times, or consider upgrading to a higher end platform.

- **Core Software**: The version of Data ONTAP and the particular features that are in use on the storage system may also affect performance. For instance, the FlexVol® feature introduced in Data ONTAP 7G (7.0) makes it simpler to avoid disk hot spots when configuring volumes. Other features may increase or decrease demand on critical system resources. Starting with Data ONTAP 7.2, NetApp introduced FlexShare™ to allow relative workload prioritization on a storage system.

The functionality described in this document pertains to Data ONTAP 7G and later releases.

- **Add-On Software**: As with the core software components defined above, the use of add-on software such as SnapMirror®, SnapVault®, NDMP, and others can affect utilization of system resources. This has to be taken into account when establishing backup and replication schedules, etc. Certain features, such as FlexShare, can be used to prioritize the consumption of resources by these background tasks relative to users and applications.
Table 1) Add-on software and software features that may impact critical system resources.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Software</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Resource Utilization Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Auditing</td>
<td>Auditing of CIFS operations.</td>
<td>CPU and memory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FlexClone™</td>
<td>Writable copy of a FlexVol volume that consumes additional storage space only as new data is written.</td>
<td>Resources depend on workload to cloned volumes (CPU, memory, disk)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FlexShare</td>
<td>Workload prioritization based on storage system volume.</td>
<td>CPU and memory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MultiStore®</td>
<td>Consolidate storage with separate and completely private logical partitions. Each storage partition maintains absolute separation from every other storage partition.</td>
<td>All resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDMP</td>
<td>Network Data Management Protocol. Standard protocol for backing up network storage to either local or remote tape devices.</td>
<td>All resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAID</td>
<td>Protects against single (RAID 4) or double disk failures (RAID-DP™).</td>
<td>Reconstruction impacts performance (CPU, memory, and disk)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scanners</td>
<td>WAFL scanners, such as reallocate, can improve performance in certain scenarios.</td>
<td>CPU, memory, and disk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scrubs</td>
<td>Periodic RAID scrubs check parity consistency and media errors on disks.</td>
<td>CPU, memory, and disk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SnapMirror</td>
<td>Replicates data asynchronously across a network to a second system for disaster recovery or other purposes.</td>
<td>All resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snapshot™</td>
<td>Creates point-in-time copies of active volumes.</td>
<td>Disk utilization (as the active file system changes)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SyncMirror®/MetroCluster</td>
<td>Synchronous data replication for disaster recovery.</td>
<td>Disk performance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virus Scanning</td>
<td>Scans CIFS write requests for viruses.</td>
<td>CPU and memory</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1.5 Performance Monitoring Tools
NetApp integrates with a variety of performance monitoring tools that can be used to assess storage system performance. These range from graphical tools such as Operations Manager and Windows® Perfmon to more traditional utilities that can be executed from the command line interface (CLI).
Data ONTAP has always maintained a variety of performance counters within the kernel. To make these counters more accessible, NetApp provides a Counter Manager layer within Data ONTAP. Counter Manager is queried by various Manage ONTAP™ APIs used by Operations Manager, as well as Windows Perfmon and various CLI tools. The Windows Perfmon capability that is built into Microsoft® Windows can be used to monitor performance counters in a customer’s existing management infrastructure.

Manage ONTAP is a collection of application programming interfaces (APIs) for the Data ONTAP operating system that provides open access to NetApp solutions. Manage ONTAP enables integration between NetApp solutions and partner solutions, as well as simplified integration with in-house applications. Manage ONTAP is exposed within Data ONTAP through a number of interfaces such as SNMP, CLI, RPC, NDMP, and Data ONTAP APIs.

1.5.1 Operations Manager Architecture

Operations Manager is a centralized management tool that allows multiple NetApp storage systems to be monitored from a single management console in real time, providing alerts and enabling performance trending and data archiving for historical analysis and much more. Operations Manager typically runs on a central administrative system, capable of polling multiple NetApp storage systems. Client software may reside on any management host on the network or even on the same management system as Operations Manager to obtain data about each NetApp system. The Performance Advisor client provides the ability to create customizable performance views and to save those views for historical reference. This paper explores using Operations Manager and the Performance Advisor client to monitor storage system performance.
2. Using Operations Manager to Monitor NetApp Performance

Achieving consistent and acceptable performance from a NetApp storage system not only requires sizing the system correctly for given workloads, but also requires regular performance monitoring. Active monitoring provides an indicator of system health and can signal when tuning is necessary. Also, regular performance monitoring enables storage administrators to identify the normal operating range of performance metrics and to spot performance trends.

The majority of performance issues do not occur spontaneously but rather as a result of gradual performance decline. Performance monitoring—with close attention to latency—enables storage administrators to identify many issues early and to take corrective action before end users or applications are impacted. In addition, performance monitoring assists in performance optimization and capacity planning.

2.1 Performance Metrics

NetApp Data ONTAP maintains a number of performance metrics that can be accessed through various interfaces, including the NetApp Operations Manager Client called Performance Advisor, the Manage ONTAP API, and the command line interface (CLI). Performance Advisor can be used to create a graphical view of a given performance metric. These graphs are useful to identify and monitor performance trends.

This document discusses performance monitoring primarily using Performance Advisor. Performance Advisor enables several common sets of performance metrics to be focused on a single storage system resource and allows these metrics to be organized into a performance view that captures the complete state of a resource and presents an easy-to-understand picture of the state of each resource.

2.1.1 Predefined Performance Views

NetApp Performance Advisor contains a number of predefined views that can be used to monitor performance. In the following list, views in bold type are the ones that have found to be most useful.

Basic:
- `cifs_ops`
- `cpu_busy`
- `disk_data_read`
- `disk_data_written`
- `fcp_ops`
- `iscsi_ops`
- `net_data_recv`
- `net_data_sent`
- `nfs_ops`

Response Time:
- `cifs_latency`
- `nfsv3_read_latency`
- `nfsv3_read_ops`
- `nfsv3_write_latency`
- `nfsv3_write_ops`

Top Aggregates:
- `cp_reads`
- `total_transfers`
- `user_reads`
- `user_writes`

Top LUNs:
- `read_ops`
- `write_ops`
- `other_ops`
Top Volumes:
- read_ops
- total_ops
- write_ops

These parameters are defined in the tables in the following sections.

In addition, the view creation capabilities of Performance Advisor can be used to create comprehensive graphical views that contain any performance metric of interest. This capability is described in detail in the following sections.

2.1.2 User-Created Performance Views
The following subsections detail commonly monitored resources grouped into performance categories. NetApp recommends that you create one or more performance views from each of the categories. These views also provide a performance baseline that is valuable in case problems occur in the future and that can be used to help troubleshoot performance problems. Several of the views described below should be monitored on a regular basis. A few sample graphs are provided along with recommended graphs to create and save for future monitoring. Appendix A describes how to use Performance Advisor to create custom performance views.

As discussed in section 1, latency is the most important metric to monitor. Latency can be examined either on a per-protocol or a per-volume basis. Monitoring per-protocol latencies gives an indication of the performance experience for users of a particular protocol. For multiapplication/workload environments, monitoring per-volume latencies is a good proxy for monitoring the performance of each application. The key per-protocol and per-volume latency metrics are described in the following two sections.
### 2.1.3 Protocol Category
The protocol category provides the latency statistics for each individual storage protocol.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NFS latency</td>
<td>nfsv3_avg_op_latency</td>
<td>msec</td>
<td>Average latency for NFS v3 operations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NFS read latency</td>
<td>nfsv3_read_latency</td>
<td>msec</td>
<td>Average latency for NFS v3 read operations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NFS write latency</td>
<td>nfsv3_write_latency</td>
<td>msec</td>
<td>Average latency for NFS v3 write operations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIFS latency</td>
<td>cifs_latency</td>
<td>msec</td>
<td>Average latency for CIFS operations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iSCSI read latency</td>
<td>iscsi_read_latency</td>
<td>msec</td>
<td>Average latency for iSCSI read operations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iSCSI write latency</td>
<td>iscsi_write_latency</td>
<td>msec</td>
<td>Average latency for iSCSI write operations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCP read latency</td>
<td>fcp_read_latency</td>
<td>msec</td>
<td>Average latency for FCP read operations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCP write latency</td>
<td>fcp_write_latency</td>
<td>msec</td>
<td>Average latency for FCP write operations</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Recommendations**
For ongoing monitoring of critical parameters in this category:
- Create a view for each protocol in use on the storage system to be monitored.

### 2.1.4 Volume/Disk Category
The volume/disk view provides volume, disk, and aggregate performance characteristics and utilization statistics. (An aggregate is a pool consisting of a large number of disks from which space is allocated for NetApp flexible volumes.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Volume latency</td>
<td>avg_latency</td>
<td>msec</td>
<td>Average latency for all operations on the volume</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume read latency</td>
<td>read_latency</td>
<td>msec</td>
<td>Average latency for all read operations on the volume</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume write latency</td>
<td>write_latency</td>
<td>msec</td>
<td>Average latency for all write operations on the volume</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume other latency</td>
<td>other_latency</td>
<td>msec</td>
<td>Average latency for all other operations on the volume</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume OPS</td>
<td>total_ops</td>
<td>per sec</td>
<td>Number of operations serviced by the volume</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume read OPS</td>
<td>read_ops</td>
<td>per sec</td>
<td>Number of read operations serviced by the volume</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume write OPS</td>
<td>write_ops</td>
<td>per sec</td>
<td>Number of write operations serviced by the volume</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume other OPS</td>
<td>other_ops</td>
<td>per sec</td>
<td>Number of other operations serviced by the volume</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aggregate transfers</td>
<td>total_transfers</td>
<td>per sec</td>
<td>Total number of transfers serviced by the aggregate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aggregate reads</td>
<td>user_reads</td>
<td>per sec</td>
<td>Number of user reads to the aggregate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aggregate write</td>
<td>user_writes</td>
<td>per sec</td>
<td>Number of user writes to the aggregate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aggregate CP reads</td>
<td>cp_reads</td>
<td>per sec</td>
<td>Number of reads done during a checkpoint (CP) to the aggregate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Recommendations
For ongoing monitoring of critical parameters in this category:

- Create a view for read_latency and write_latency for all critical volumes, as illustrated in Figure 5.
- Monitor `sysstat` output for total disk I/O utilization.

**Figure 5) Monitoring volume latency.**

Graphical views of disk parameters are on an individual disk basis. The `sysstat` command line utility can be used to understand total disk I/O utilization. The following example output illustrates disk I/O utilization (bold text):

```
fas3020-svl14*>  sysstat -s -u 1

CPU   Total    Net kB/s    Disk kB/s    Tape kB/s Cache Cache  CP  CP Disk
ops/s    in   out   read  write  read write   age   hit time ty util
5%     596  1670  3790   5331      0     0     0   >60   60%   0%  - 39%
13%     490  1513  2972   6140   6148     0     0     1   98%  22%  T 31%
6%     399  1166  2494   4368  29508     0     0     1   75% 100%  : 48%
5%     534  1735  3152   4560    384     0     0     1   71%   6%  : 32%
--
Summary Statistics (   17 samples  1.0 secs/sample)
CPU   Total    Net kB/s    Disk kB/s    Tape kB/s Cache Cache  CP  CP Disk
ops/s    in   out   read  write  read write   age   hit time ty util
Min
5%     399  1122  2494   4368      0     0     1   60%   0%  * 30%
```
2.1.5 System Category
The system category provides a high-level overview of activity and resource utilization on a storage system. In particular, it focuses on CPU, network, and over-all disk utilization.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CPU utilization</td>
<td>cpu_busy</td>
<td>percent</td>
<td>System CPU resource utilization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average CPU utilization</td>
<td>avg_processor_busy</td>
<td>percent</td>
<td>Average processor utilization across all processors in the system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total CPU utilization</td>
<td>total_processor_busy</td>
<td>percent</td>
<td>Total processor utilization of all processors in the system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPU count</td>
<td>num_processors</td>
<td>number</td>
<td>Number of active processors in the system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Network receive throughput</td>
<td>net_data_recv</td>
<td>KB per sec</td>
<td>Network KB received per second</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Network send throughput</td>
<td>net_data_sent</td>
<td>KB per sec</td>
<td>Network KB sent per second</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disk read throughput</td>
<td>disk_data_read</td>
<td>KB per sec</td>
<td>Disk KB read per second</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disk write throughput</td>
<td>disk_data_written</td>
<td>KB per sec</td>
<td>Disk KB written per second</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Recommendations
For ongoing monitoring of critical parameters in this category:
- Create a view for cpu_busy, as illustrated in Figure 6.
- Create a view for net_data_recv and net_data_sent, as illustrated in Figure 7.

Figure 6) Monitoring CPU utilization over time.
2.1.6 Network/Target Port Category

The network port category provides the state of network performance and helps identify problems related to network routing and traffic from other network services. Similarly, this category provides the corresponding state and performance of FC SAN target ports.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Network receive</td>
<td>recv_packets</td>
<td>per sec</td>
<td>Packets received</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Network receive</td>
<td>recv_errors</td>
<td>per sec</td>
<td>Errors per seconds while receiving packets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Network send</td>
<td>send_packets</td>
<td>per sec</td>
<td>Packets sent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Network send errors</td>
<td>send_errors</td>
<td>per sec</td>
<td>Errors while sending packets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Network dropped packets</td>
<td>recv_drop_packets</td>
<td>per sec</td>
<td>Received packets dropped</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Network receive</td>
<td>recv_data</td>
<td>bytes per sec</td>
<td>Total bytes received per second</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Network send data</td>
<td>send_data</td>
<td>bytes per sec</td>
<td>Total bytes sent per second</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FC target port read</td>
<td>read_ops</td>
<td>per sec</td>
<td>Read ops per second</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FC target port write</td>
<td>write_ops</td>
<td>per sec</td>
<td>Write ops per second</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FC target port read data</td>
<td>read_data</td>
<td>bytes per sec</td>
<td>Read bytes per second</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FC target port write data</td>
<td>write_data</td>
<td>bytes per sec</td>
<td>Write bytes per second</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 8) Monitoring network traffic.

**Recommendations:**
For ongoing monitoring of critical parameters in this category:
- Create a view for each network and FC target port on the storage system to be monitored.

**3. Performance Troubleshooting**
The following procedure is tailored for performance troubleshooting on any NetApp storage system that is running Data ONTAP 7G or later and that is using FlexVol technology.

The following steps outline a general methodology for troubleshooting a performance problem:
2. Save performance baselines and system activities for each storage system.
3. Monitor the latency on each critical volume by setting up threshold alerts using Performance Advisor or manually monitoring the performance view on a daily basis.
4. If latency approaching a threshold or an end-user complaint is received:
   a. Look for transient storage system activities that might be causing the problem.
   b. Drill into each system resource using the previously created views to locate the bottleneck.
5. When the problem has been identified, take corrective action.
6. After the problem has been corrected, reevaluate and update baseline data.

The following subsections provide detailed information for carrying out each step of the process.
3.1 Create Performance Views
Following the recommendations and directions in section 2.0, create a representative sample of the recommended views for each storage system that needs to be monitored. Refer to Appendix A for details on how to create a custom view using Performance Advisor.

3.2 Save Performance Baselines
To establish the baseline data for performance troubleshooting, leverage the performance views created in the previous step.

- For each view, capture data under normal and peak load conditions for the storage system.
- For views that measure latency (volume latency, protocol latency), establish an acceptable latency range based on SLAs with end users, application requirements, and/or end-user experience. For instance, Oracle typically recommends that I/O latency be no greater than 20 milliseconds for all operations.

To save performance views, select File > Save. To view saved views, select File > Open > Performance View File.

![Figure 9) Saving a performance view.](image)

3.3 Perform Regular Monitoring
Once baselines are established, monitor the latency on each critical volume on a daily basis and other views on a regular basis. For each volume, compare the current latency to the baseline and established latency range.
If latency increases over time and approaches the established threshold value, investigate the source of the increase. If the threshold value is met or exceeded before action is taken, it may be too late to avoid end-user complaints.

The more comprehensive the monitoring methodology, the less likely it is that unexpected performance issues will occur. Monitoring volume latency is a good starting point, but it will not catch all possible performance problems, even when they originate within the storage system. For instance, an overloaded IP network interface may cause users to see a slowdown even though volume latencies appear normal. For this reason, it is important to also monitor nonlatency views on a periodic basis.

Some performance problems may originate outside the storage system. As stated previously, these are outside of the scope of this document. However, by understanding storage system performance through regular monitoring, storage can quickly and confidently be eliminated as the source of a user-observed performance problem.

### 3.4 Identify the Source of a Problem

Once a developing performance problem is identified, either through regular monitoring or because of a user complaint:

1. Look for transient storage system activities that may be causing the problem.
2. Drill into each system resource.

The following two subsections elaborate on these steps.

#### 3.4.1 Transient System Activities

A number of transient system activities that occur both within the storage system and outside of the storage system can affect performance. Internal transient activities can include RAID reconstructions, SnapMirror transfers, NDMP, and others. (Refer to Table 1 for a comprehensive list.) Identify the activities that are currently occurring on the storage system under investigation and compare this list with the list of activities in Table 1. These activities may affect the system’s ability to service user and application I/O requests.

1. If one or more such activities are present, see if turning them off or throttling them improves performance. (Be sure that turning off or throttling the activities won’t adversely affect critical operations before proceeding.) System activities including RAID reconstruction, SnapMirror, and others have options for throttling resource consumption so that they do not interfere with other system activity.
2. For one-time or infrequent activities such as RAID reconstruction, consider the tradeoffs. It might be better to live with the performance impact to ensure that the reconstruction is completed in a timely fashion.
3. For regular activities such as Snapshot, SnapMirror, NDMP, and SnapVault, also consider altering the schedule so that the activity occurs when the system is less loaded.

#### 3.4.2 Drill Down to Find the Bottleneck

Drill into resource utilization in the following order. Even if a potential source of a problem has been found, it’s a good idea to continue through the procedure to make sure nothing is overlooked.

1. CPU: Look at the total_cpu_busy graph:
   a. If CPU utilization < 90%, it’s okay. Continue troubleshooting.
   b. If CPU utilization > 90%, it’s a potential cause of the problem
2. Disk or loop: Look at `sysstat` output:
   a. Review the total disk I/O utilization against the acceptable disk utilization threshold for the desired I/O latencies storage system.
   b. If disk I/O utilization < utilization threshold, it’s okay. Continue troubleshooting.
c. If disk I/O utilization > utilization threshold, it may impact the I/O latencies of affected volumes.
d. In addition, if a disk problem is identified at the total system disk utilization level, check individual disks for hot spots using the statit command line utility. See the statit man page for details. Under normal use conditions, the FlexVol framework eliminates disk hot spots. However, if a very small aggregate (a few disks) is expanded slowly over time, hot spots may occur.

Keep in mind that each aggregate may consist of multiple volumes, not all of which may have been designated as critical volumes. As a result, not all volumes in each aggregate may be actively monitored. However, total traffic on the aggregate, including traffic to noncritical volumes, may be overloading the aggregate and affecting critical volumes.

Note: In some cases, high disk utilization may be the result of loop (FCAL) saturation. This may not be readily recognizable just by looking at sysstat output and may require the use of statit. NetApp Global Services can assist with the diagnosis of loop saturation problems if necessary.

3. Networking, including network interface cards (NICs) and host bus adapters (HBAs):
a. View the network interface statistics using Performance Advisor.
b. Look for total throughput approaching the maximum capability of the hardware on each interface on each NIC and HBA. Total throughput can be calculated by adding the bytes/sec values from both the RECEIVE and TRANSMIT sections of the output. An interface should not be expected to deliver more than about 80% of its stated maximum performance on a sustained basis.
c. Look for unusually high error rates on each interface.

If these steps do not identify the source of increased latency, it may be the result of a complicated performance issue that requires in-depth investigation. Contact NetApp Global Services for additional troubleshooting assistance.

3.5 Correct the Problem

The following steps may be taken to correct problems isolated in the previous section. It may be possible and necessary to implement more than one of the changes for each stated solution to get satisfactory results.

Overloaded CPU
- Utilize NetApp FlexShare (Data ONTAP 7.2 and later) to give higher priority to critical workloads.
- Spread the workload across multiple storage systems to better balance the load.
- Stagger jobs during the course of the day.
- Upgrade to a higher end storage system.

Overloaded Disk
- Increase the number of disks in the affected aggregates.
- On a large-capacity system with more than one aggregate in use, migrate data and rebalance the load between aggregates for optimal load distribution. For example, if the affected aggregate contains two busy volumes and a second aggregate contains two underutilized volumes, move a busy volume to the underutilized aggregate and move a quiet volume to the overutilized aggregate.

Overloaded Loop
- Add Dualpath on a single controller or Multipath HA with Controller Failover (CFO) (requires Data ONTAP 7.0).
- Increase the number of FCAL loops and distribute expansion shelves evenly across the FCAL loops.
- Redistribute the disks in a volume or RAID group across multiple loops.

Overloaded NIC or HBA
- If the problem is due to throughput:
- NIC: balance the load across multiple network links
- HBA: reduce the number of hosts connected through the HBA
  - If the problem is due to network errors, examine network connectivity including cables, switches, and ports.

### 3.6 Update Baseline Data

Once corrective action has been taken and system performance has returned to acceptable margins, update all affected baseline data and continue the monitoring protocol. For instance, if an aggregate was expanded, capture new baseline latencies on all critical volumes on that aggregate.

### 4. Troubleshooting Examples

The following test cases simulate common performance problems and then demonstrate how Performance Advisor performance views and CLI commands can be used to help diagnose the problem. The first example highlights a situation in which a storage system is disk constrained. The second example illustrates a scenario in which a storage system is CPU bound.

#### 4.1 Overloaded Disk Subsystem

For this example, a highly random workload that simulates a database workload with a large dataset (300GB) was used. This type of workload typically has a response time requirement of less than 20 milliseconds and tends to be very disk intensive, generating large numbers of disk IOPS.

#### 4.1.1 Initial Configuration

The initial configuration uses a NetApp FAS3020 with 24 disk drives in a 2.2TB aggregate. Multiple Linux® clients are used to generate load on the system using NFS.
Figure 10) Details of aggregate used in the first example.
4.1.2 Baseline

Baseline performance data illustrated that latencies were stable and at an acceptable level.

Figure 11) Baseline latency for example 1.
4.1.3 Overload

Overload occurred when the number of Linux clients was doubled, thus doubling the workload to the dataset. The following figure shows the impact of the overload on latency.

![Figure 12) Disk latency during overload for example 1.](image)

4.1.4 Troubleshooting

For a real-world problem, the first step would be to check whether there are any transient system activities that might be causing the overload. Since this example is based on a test case, this step was skipped and the troubleshooting protocol was followed to drill down into each resource in the following order: CPU, disk, network. CPU utilization on the storage system was found to be within an acceptable range. However, review of the `sysstat` output showed that disk I/O utilization was very high (>70%). The `sysstat` data made it apparent that disk utilization was a bottleneck. Investigating the network interfaces showed no overload.

4.1.5 Correcting the Overload

Once disk overloading was identified as the source of the increase in latency, an additional 12 spindles were added to the aggregate to reduce the number of IOPs serviced by each disk to correct the problem. This was done in accordance with NetApp best practices, which suggest expanding an aggregate by adding an entire RAID group.
As the following figure shows, latency dropped to an acceptable level for a database workload, confirming that disk overload was the source of the problem.

![DataFabric Manager Client - Performance Advisor](image)

Figure 13) Disk latency drops to acceptable level after additional spindles are added.

4.2 Overloaded Storage System CPU

This example uses a Fibre Channel SAN configuration to illustrate a performance problem due to excessive CPU utilization. The workload used to demonstrate the problem is based on sequential, read-intensive I/O and is memory intensive.

4.2.1 Initial Configuration

The initial configuration uses a NetApp FAS3020 with 24 disk drives in a 2.2TB aggregate. A group of Linux clients was used to generate load on the system through two FCP ports.
4.2.2 Baseline
Baseline performance data was gathered to illustrate that both latency and CPU were stable and at an acceptable level.

Figure 14) Baseline latency for example 2.
Figure 15) Baseline CPU utilization for example 2.
4.2.3 Overload
Overload occurred when the number of Linux clients running the workload was doubled. The following figure shows the impact of the overload on latency.

![Graph showing latency during overload](image)

Figure 16) Volume latency during overload for example 2.

4.2.4 Troubleshooting
For a real-world problem, the first thing would be to check whether there are any transient system activities that might be causing the overload. Since this example is based on a test case, this step was skipped and the troubleshooting protocol was followed to drill down into each resource.
According to the troubleshooting protocol defined in section 3.0, the first thing to look at is the CPU. Reviewing the cpu_busy chart showed that the CPU was very close to its maximum value.

![image of CPU utilization](image.png)

Figure 17) CPU utilization during overload.

A check of disk utilization and network connectivity did not indicate any abnormalities. This further validated that the latency increase was due to CPU overload.

4.2.5 Correcting the Overload

In order to achieve the desired latency for the increased load, an environment showing this type of overload could benefit from a variety of options such as implementing FlexShare for workload prioritization, staggering workloads and backup schedules, and lowering the priority of background tasks. For a comprehensive list of recommendations, refer to section 3.5, “Correct the Problem: Overloaded CPU.”

5. Conclusion

Proactive monitoring is the key to storage performance management. Regular monitoring, with particular attention to the latency on critical storage volumes during normal and peak loads, allows storage administrators to identify potential problems before they become critical. This empowers administrators to take corrective action and ensure that operations continue without disruption or slowdown.

The troubleshooting methodology described in section 3.0 gives administrators a concise methodology for isolating performance problems when observed latencies start to rise or when users report slow application response times. This methodology can be used to quickly identify and correct problems, seeking additional assistance when necessary. In the case of user-reported problems, this method can be used to determine whether the storage is the source of the reported slowdown. Finally, examples in section 4.0 help administrators understand and apply this methodology to common use cases.
Appendix: Creating a Performance View

To create a performance view:

2. Select the storage system to monitor. The Performance Views subwindow opens.

![Image of DataFabric Manager Client - Performance Advisor](image)

Figure 18) Creating a performance view.
4. The Performance View popup appears. Select Sample Rate and Sample Buffer, enter a name for
the view, and then click Add.

![Performance View](image)

Figure 19) Naming a performance view.
5. When prompted, select a chart name and type from the drop-down menus.

![Selecting chart name and type](image1)

Figure 20) Selecting chart name and type

6. Select the Counters tab and add the metrics to be monitored.

![Adding counters to a performance view](image2)

Figure 21) Adding counters to a performance view.
7. Open the various subtabs (aggregate, NFS, system, volume, etc.), select the metrics to be monitored, and click Add to add them to the view.

![Performance View - Chart](image)

Figure 22) Adding volume write_latency to a performance view.

8. When the desired counters have been added, click OK and then click OK again. The view has now been added to the available views in the user-defined folder.

9. Double-click the name of the view in the folder to display the chart in real time.

6. References and Additional Resources

**General**

**Data ONTAP 7G**

**FlexShare**

**SnapMirror**

**FlexClone**
RAID


SyncMirror/MetroCluster

The following resources are available exclusively to customers with access to the NOW™ (NetApp on the Web) site.

NDMP
Data Protection Tape Backup and Recovery Guide, Section 5:  
http://now.netapp.com/NOW/knowledge/docs/ontap/rel72/pdfs/ontap/tapebkup.pdf

MultiStore
MultiStore Management Guide:  
http://now.netapp.com/NOW/knowledge/docs/ontap/rel72/pdfs/ontap/vfiler.pdf

RAID
Storage Management Guide:  
http://now.netapp.com/NOW/knowledge/docs/ontap/rel72/pdfs/ontap/mgmtsag.pdf

SyncMirror/MetroCluster  
http://now.netapp.com/NOW/knowledge/docs/ontap/rel72/pdfs/ontap/cluster.pdf

7. Acknowledgements

Swami Ramany and Darrell Suggs made significant contributions to this paper.
## 8. Revision History

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01/08/07</td>
<td>Bhargava/Nigam</td>
<td>Initial Version</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>